

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 19 SEP 2005

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

WIPO

PCT

# PCT

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:  
KFIR LUZZATTO  
P.O. BOX 5352  
BEER SHEVA, ISRAEL 84152

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) **16 SEP 2005**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**

See paragraph 2 below

16931-WO-03

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/IL04/01125

15 December 2003 (15.12.2003)

15 December 2003 (15.12.2003)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): A23L 1/00 and US Cl.: 99/330; 426/438

Applicant

ON-LINE TRADE & MARKETING (P.T.)

**1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:**

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

**2. FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

**3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.**

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Timothy F. Simone

Telephone No. 571-272-1700

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/IL04/01125

**Box No. I Basis of this opinion**

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/IL04/01125

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 *bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-28</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>1-28</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-28</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-21 meet the novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability criteria under PCT Article 33(2)-33(4) because an appliance for cooking food articles including the combination of elements as set forth in the claims, is not taught nor fairly suggested in the prior art.

Claims 22-28 meet the novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability criteria under PCT Article 33(2)-33(4) because a method for cooking food articles including the combination of steps as set forth in the claims, is not taught nor fairly suggested in the prior art.

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 19 SEP 2005

WIPO

PCT

## PCT

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:  
KFIR LUZZATTO  
P.O. BOX 5352  
BEER SHEVA, ISRAEL 84152

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year)

16 SEP 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

16931-WO-03

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/IL04/01125

International filing date (day/month/year)

15 December 2003 (15.12.2003)

Priority date (day/month/year)

15 December 2003 (15.12.2003)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): A23L 1/00 and US Cl.: 99/330; 426/438

Applicant

ON-LINE TRADE & MARKETING (P.T.)

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- |                                     |              |                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I    | Basis of the opinion                                                                                                                                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. II   | Priority                                                                                                                                                             |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. III  | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability                                                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. IV   | Lack of unity of invention                                                                                                                                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V    | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VI   | Certain documents cited                                                                                                                                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VII  | Certain defects in the international application                                                                                                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application                                                                                                                |

#### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Timothy F. Simone

Telephone No. 571-272-1700

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL04/01125

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/IL04/01125

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 *bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims 1-28 YES

Claims NONE NO

Inventive step (IS)

Claims 1-28 YES

Claims NONE NO

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims 1-28 YES

Claims NONE NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-21 meet the novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability criteria under PCT Article 33(2)-33(4) because an appliance for cooking food articles including the combination of elements as set forth in the claims, is not taught nor fairly suggested in the prior art.

Claims 22-28 meet the novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability criteria under PCT Article 33(2)-33(4) because a method for cooking food articles including the combination of steps as set forth in the claims, is not taught nor fairly suggested in the prior art.